



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,311	04/20/2004	Christopher Rathweg	249212026300	5676	
25226 7590 08/16/2007 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP					
755 PAGE MILL RD			RENNER, CRAIG A		
PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2627		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/829,311	RATHWEG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Craig A. Renner	2627	

	Craig A. Renner	2627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final reject E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecalise			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	ccause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	acted claims				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected ciainis.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mnliant Amendment	PTOL -324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant Amendment	1 101-324).			
 Applicant's Teply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 6-9.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	rit or other evidence is	necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
13. Other:		Ni. D				
		reg h. Kan	u			
		Craig A. Renner Primary Examiner				
		Art Unit: 2627				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: for instance, the changes to independent claim 1.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the term "translatable" may be broadly construed to mean merely transferable.

CRAIGA RENNER